Appendix F

ICAO MODEL RUNWAY INCURSION INITIAL REPORT FORM

		Report no.:
A.	Date/time of runway incursion (in UTC) (YYYYMMDDhhmm)	Day 🗆 Night 🗆
B.	Person submitting the report	
	Name:	
	Job title:	
	Telephone no.:	
	Facility/unit:	
	Date/time/place of completion of form:	
C.	ICAO aerodrome designator	
D.	Surface conditions <i>(Braking)</i>	
E.	Aircraft, vehicle or person involved in the run	way incursion (indicate all those involved in the occurrence)
	Aircraft 1:	
	Aircraft 2:	
	Aircraft 3:	
	Vehicle:	
	Person:	

F.	Weat							
	Wind	:			Visibility/RVR:			
	Temp	peratu	re (° Celsius):		Ceiling/cloud:			
	Addit	ional i	nformation:					
G.	Evasive action — Aircraft 1							
	No							
	Yes		Select from the list below as app	propriate:				
			Cancelled take-off clearance Rejected take-off Rotated early Delayed rotation Abrupt stop Swerved Missed approach Other		distance rolled:			
H.	Evas	ive ac	tion — Aircraft 2					
	No							
	Yes		Select from the list below as app	propriate:				
			Cancelled take-off clearance Rejected take-off Rotated early Delayed rotation Abrupt stop Swerved Missed approach		distance rolled: distance to runway threshold:			
			Other		-			

I.	Evasive action — Vehicle							
	No 🗆							
	Yes 🗆	Select from the list below as	low as appropriate:					
		Abrupt stop Swerved Other						
J.	Closest pro	ximity						
	Vertical (ft)	: H	lorizontal (m):					
K.		ation difficulties						
	No 🗆							
	Yes \Box Select from the list below as appropriate:							
		Readback/hearback Blocked communication Confused call signs Aircraft on wrong frequency/ Non-standard phraseology	ino radio					
L.	ATC							
		person/vehicle cleared onto or on approach to land?	to cross a runway?	Yes	No			
M.	Description of the incident and relevant circumstances							
	1. A description or diagram of the geometry of the incident scenario:							
	Description:							

Diagram:

2. A description of any evasive or corrective action taken to avoid a collision:

3. An assessment of the available reaction time and the effectiveness of the evasive or corrective action:

4. An indication of whether a review of voice communication has been completed and the results of that review:

5. Initial assessment of severity:

N. Aircraft details — Aircraft 1

Registration no.:	Call sign:	SSR code (if applicable):	
	J		

Flight no.: _____ Owner/operator: _____

Aircraft 1 type: _____

Flight details (select from the list below as appropriate):

Type of flight	Flight rules		
General aviation	IFR		
Military	VFR		
Non-scheduled			
Scheduled			
Other			
Not applicable			

O. Aircraft details — Aircraft 2

Registration no.:	Call sign:	SSR code (if applicable):
Flight no.:	Owner/operator:	

Aircraft 2 type: _____

Flight details (select from the list below as appropriate):

Type of flight	Flight rules		
General aviation	IFR		
Military	VFR		
Non-scheduled			
Scheduled			
Other			
Not applicable			

P. Vehicle details — Vehicle 1

Registration no.:	Call sign:
Mobile no.:	Owner/operator:
Vehicle 1 type:	

Г

Other details (select from the list below below as appropriate):

Type of vehicle	
Runway inspection	
Bird control	
Tugging/towing	
Fire brigade	
Maintenance	
Snow clearing	
Military	
0	

Q. Vehicle details — Vehicle 2

Registration no.:	Call sign:
Mobile no.:	Owner/operator:

Vehicle 2 type: _____

Other details (select from the list below below as appropriate):

Type of vehicle	Other:
Runway inspection	
Bird control	
Tugging/towing	
Fire brigade	
Maintenance	
Snow clearing	
Military	

R. Report received by

(name of person)

(date)

S. Date when detailed investigation will commence

2. INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING THE RUNWAY INCURSION INITIAL REPORT FORM

Item

- A Indicate the date/time (in UTC) and conditions (day or night) of the runway incursion.
- B Provide details about the person submitting the report.
- C Provide the aerodrome designator as indicated in *Location Indicators* (Doc 7910).
- D Supply information regarding the runway condition at the time of the runway incursion, which affected the braking action of the aircraft.
- E Identify the aircraft, vehicles or persons involved in the runway incursion. More details should be provided in N, O, P and Q.
- F Provide information on weather conditions such as wind, visibility, RVR, temperature, ceiling, cloud and additional information as required.
- G, H, I Provide information regarding evasive action taken by the aircraft and/or vehicles.
- J Provide information regarding the closest proximity or distance, horizontally and/or vertically, between both parties during the runway incursion or at the point at which both parties were aware of the situation and the aircraft was under control at taxi speed or less.
- K, L Provide information regarding communication difficulties and ATC memory lapses.
- M Describe the runway incursion, by providing the information requested. Attach additional pages as required.
- N, O, P, Q Supply detailed information regarding the aircraft and vehicles involved in the runway incursion.
- R Provide the name of the person receiving the report and date.
- S Indicate the date when the detailed investigation of the runway incursion will commence.

Appendix G

ICAO MODEL RUNWAY INCURSION CAUSAL FACTORS IDENTIFICATION FORM

						Initial runw	ay incursion repo	ort no.:		
A.		me/place of MMDDhhm	f runway inc 1m)	cursion (in	UTC)	(date)	(tin		(place)	
В.	Aircraft	Aircraft, vehicle or person involved in the runway incursion (indicate all those involved in the occurrence)								
	Aircraft	_								
	Aircraft Aircraft	-								
	Vehicle	-								
	Person	-								
	r ci suii	· _								
C.	Severit	y of the run	nway incursi	on (select	as appropri	ate)				
		Severity								
		А								
		В								
		С								
		D								
		E								
D.	Causal	and coinci	dent factors	s (select fro	om the list a	s appropriate — mi	ultiple choices car	ו be made)		
					1. AIR	TRAFFIC CONTR	OL			
	1.1	Сотти	unications							
	1.1.1	requiren		-ground ra	diotelephor			nce with ICAO langu Ily used by the station		
						telephony commur 1 — Personnel Lice		own in Annex 10 and Appendix 1.) — Aeronautical	

- 1.1.2 Did not obtain readbacks for clearances, instructions and coordination as required by ICAO
 1.1.3 Did not correct an error in a readback
 1.1.4 Issued a clearance to the wrong aircraft
 1.1.5 Confused similar call signs
- 1.1.6 Transmission was completely blocked
- 1.1.7 Deviation from established ICAO standard phraseologies
- 1.1.8 Other (please specify). If not an ICAO procedure, please briefly describe the procedure used and where.

1.2 *Situational awareness*

1.2.1	Head-down time due to equipment/displays; duties other than traffic processing such as inputting flight data	
1.2.2	Forgot:	
	aircraft on an active runway	
	aircraft cleared to cross a runway	
	aircraft in the lined-up position	
	aircraft on approach to land	
	to issue a clearance	
	that a clearance had already been issued	
	closed runways	
	a vehicle on an active runway	
	a vehicle cleared to cross a runway	
1.2.3	Distractions due to:	
	 performing other assigned duties, such as conducting operational telephone calls, weather observations and recording, issuing NOTAM and other operational information 	
	 engaging in non-operational activities such as a personal telephone call, extraneous conversation, reading material and radios 	
1.2.4	Used a language not in accordance with ICAO language requirements for air-ground radiotelephony communications (language normally used by the station on the ground or the English language)	
1.2.5	Other (please specify).	

 Misidentified the aircraft or the aircraft's position due to: incorrect position report 	
an incorrect expectation (e.g. expected the aircraft to be clear of the runway)	
Lack of visual scanning of ground movements	
Limitations on the view of the manoeuvring area from the ATC tower	
Recent runway configuration change	
Unusual runway configuration	
Error occurred within 15 minutes of assuming the control position	
Controller was conducting on-the-job training	
Fatigue Other (please specify).	
Staffing	
ATC positions were combined on the same frequency	
Absence of a supervisor in the tower	
Supervisor was working a control position.	
Decision making	
Misjudged separation or anticipated separation	
Inadequate ATC to ATC coordination	
Other (please specify).	
Procedures	
Misapplication of conditional clearances	
Misapplication of conditional clearances Use of multiple line-up clearances Other (please specify). If not an ICAO procedure, please briefly describe the procedure used and where.	

1.6 *Aerodrome works*

- 1.6.1 ATC not advised of works on the manoeuvring area
- 1.6.2 Other (please specify).

2. FLIGHT CREW

2.1	Communications	
2.1.1	Transmission was completely blocked	
2.1.2	Transmission was partially blocked ("stepped-on")	
2.1.3	Accepted a similar aircraft's clearance:	
	with similar call signs	
	without similar call signs	
2.1.4	Deviation from established ICAO standard phraseologies	
2.1.5	Used other than ICAO language requirements for air-ground radiotelephony communications (language normally used by the station on the ground or the English language) in a situation not covered by ICAO standard phraseology	
2.1.6	Used language not in accordance with ICAO language requirements for air-ground radiotelephony communications (language normally used by the station on the ground or the English language)	
2.1.7	Speech quality:	
	 not proficient in ICAO language requirements for air-ground radiotelephony communications (language normally used by the station on the ground or the English language) 	
	poorly enunciated or heavily accented	
	spoken rapidly	
	spoken with an inconsistent volume	
2.1.8	Did not use headsets	
2.1.9	Received clearance or instructions during periods of high cockpit workload	
2.1.10	Did not advise ATC of a delay on the runway prior to take-off	
2.1.11	Other (please specify).	

2.2	Situational awareness	
2.2.1	Crew conducting checklists while taxiing	
2.2.2	Crew member programming flight management system or other flight deck system while taxiing	
2.2.3	Crew member was on another radio frequency	
2.2.4	Competing radio communications	
2.2.5	Unfamiliar with the aerodrome layout	
2.2.6	Crew mistook their position on the aerodrome (thought they were in a different location)	
2.2.7	Fatigue	
2.2.8	Reported incorrect location to ATC	
2.2.9	Taxied fast	
2.2.10	Did not refer to the aerodrome diagram	
2.2.11	Did not listen to the automatic terminal information service (ATIS)	
2.2.12	Works on the manoeuvring area were not previously advised by NOTAM	
2.2.13	Used out-of-date or inaccurate publications or charts	
2.2.14	Failed to apply or correctly observe sterile cockpit procedures	
2.2.15	Other (please specify).	

Markings, signs and lighting	
Not ICAO-compliant	
Not provided	
Irregularly spaced	
Ambiguous and difficult to follow	
Poorly sized	
Poorly situated	
Poorly maintained	
Other (please specify).	

 \square

 \square

 \square

2.4 *Clearances and instructions*

- 2.4.1 Misunderstood clearance:
 - conditional
 - follow
 - other
- 2.4.2 Flight crew did not ask for clarification when they did not understand a clearance or instruction
- 2.4.3 Did not inform ATC when could not comply with a clearance
- 2.4.4 Forgot part of the clearance or instruction
- 2.4.5 Entered the runway after being instructed to "hold short"
- 2.4.6 Lined up on the runway after instruction to taxi to the runway-holding position (point)
- 2.4.7 Took off without a clearance after being instructed to "line up and wait"
- 2.4.8 Took off without a clearance after being instructed to taxi to the runway-holding position (point)
- 2.4.9 Landed or departed on the wrong runway
- 2.4.10 Landed or departed on the taxiway
- 2.4.11 Other (please specify).

3. VEHICLE DRIVERS AND PEDESTRIANS

3.1 *Communications*

3.1.1	Did not operate on the appropriate:	
	 ground frequency for operations outside the runway strip 	
	tower frequency for operations within the runway strip	
3.1.2	Turned the radio volume down or off after initial communication with ATC	
3.1.3	Other (please specify).	

3.2 *Situational awareness*

3.2.1	Forgot the details/limits of any clearance to operate on the manoeuvring area	
3.2.2	Distracted by:	
	current work	
	high noise levels	

	 monitoring more than one frequency and possibly a mobile telephone 	Ľ
	being disoriented or lost on the aerodrome	Ľ
3.2.3	Failure to report correct location	Γ
3.2.4	Other (please specify).	Γ
		_

3.3 Markings, signs and lighting

3.3.1	Not ICAO-compliant	
3.3.2	Not provided	
3.3.3	Irregularly spaced	
3.3.4	Ambiguous and difficult to follow	
3.3.5	Poorly sized	
3.3.6	Poorly situated	
3.3.7	Poorly maintained	
3.3.8	Other (please specify).	

3.4 Procedures

3.4.1	Not adequately familiar with the aerodrome and its procedural requirements	
3.4.2	Did not refer to the current aerodrome NOTAM	
3.4.3	Did not refer to the current aerodrome diagram	
3.4.4	Used out-of-date or inaccurate publications or charts	
3.4.5	Did not advise ATC of work that affected operations	
3.4.6	Ground vehicles did not stop at required positions	
3.4.7	Other (please specify).	

3.5	Clearances and instructions	
3.5.1	Did not comply with ATC clearances and instructions	
3.5.2	Mistook a clearance intended for another vehicle or aircraft	
3.5.3	The driver did not advise ATC that he/she did not understand the clearance or instruction	
3.5.4	Other (please specify).	

E. Person submitting the form

Name	 		
Title	 	 	
Data			

Date

App G-9

2. INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING THE RUNWAY INCURSION CAUSAL FACTORS IDENTIFICATION FORM

Item

- A Indicate the date/time (in UTC) and place of the runway incursion.
- B Identify the aircraft, vehicles and persons involved in the runway incursion.
- C Classify the severity of the runway incursion according to Chapter 6 of the *Manual on the Prevention of Runway Incursions* (Doc 9870).
- D Fill out all causal and coincident factors applicable to the runway incursion.
- E Provide details of the person submitting the form and the date.

Note.— When instructed by ICAO, the information on this form should be sent to ICAO to facilitate global identification of runway incursion casual factors.
